Indonesian EFL Students' Difficulties in Recognizing English Letters by Daflizar Daflizar

Submission date: 02-May-2023 11:48PM (UTC-0400) Submission ID: 2082725795 File name: ian_EFL_Students_Difficulties_in_Recognizing_English_Letters.pdf (494.2K) Word count: 7602 Character count: 43743





Volume 26 | Number 11

Article 9

11-15-2021

Indonesian EFL Students' Difficulties in Recognizing English Letters

Toni Indrayadi IAIN Kerinci, Jambi, Indonesia, toniindrayadi2@gmail.com

Daflizar Daflizar IAIN Kerinci, Jambi, Indonesia, daflizar@yahoo.com

Yoki Irawan IAIN Kerinci, Jambi, Indonesia, ykrwn09@gmail.com

Helty Helty Jambi University, Indonesia, heltyasafri@unja.ac.id

Follow this and additional works at: https://nsuworks.nova.edu/tqr

Part of the Language and Literacy Education Commons, and the Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons

Recommended APA Citation

Indrayadi, T., Daflizar, D., Irawan, Y., & Helty, H. (2021). Indonesian EFL Students' Difficulties in Recognizing English Letters. *The Qualitative Report*, *26*(11), 3476-3491. https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2021.4846

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the The Qualitative Report at NSUWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Qualitative Report by an authorized administrator of NSUWorks. For more information, please contact nsuworks@nova.edu.



Indonesian EFL Students' Difficulties in Recognizing English Letters

Abstract

The purpose of this qualitative study was to explore the difficulties of Indonesian undergraduate students in recognizing English letters at one public Islamic higher education institution in Jambi Province, Indonesia as the basis for designing pronunciation teaching strategies for English lecturers. Using a qualitative methodology that attempts to investigate and understand human issues in a particular group (Harrison et al., 2017), 4 participants were interviewed. through semi-structured interview questions. The interviews were analyzed and compared along four dimensions of EFL English pronunciation mastery factors: motivation, attitude, first language pronunciation interference, and phonetic ability. The findings of this study provide evidence for lecturers on the importance of introducing pronunciation class in the English study program in the earlier years of study to improve students' English pronunciation ability. Implication and limitation of research are provided.

Keywords

EFL University Students, English Letters

Creative Commons License

000

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express deepest gratitude to the State Islamic Institute of Kerinci Rector for allowing to conduct the study, the third semester students of English department academic year 2019/2020 for willingness to participate in the study.

This article is available in The Qualitative Report: https://nsuworks.nova.edu/tqr/vol26/iss11/9

The Qualitative Report 2021 Volume 26, Number 11, 3476-3491 https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2021.4846



Indonesian EFL Students' Difficulties in Recognizing English Letters

Toni Indrayadi, Daflizar, and Yoki Irawan Institut Agama Islam Negeri Kerinci, Indonesia

Helty

Universitas Jambi, Indonesia

The purpose of this qualitative study was to explore the difficulties of Indonesian undergraduate students in recognizing English letters at one public Islamic higher education institution in Jambi Province, Indonesia as the basis for designing pronunciation teaching strategies for English lecturers. Using a qualitative methodology that attempts to investigate and understand human issues in a particular group (Harrison et al., 2017), 4 participants were interviewed. through semi-structured interview questions. The interviews were analyzed and compared along four dimensions of EFL English pronunciation mastery factors: motivation, attitude, first language pronunciation interference, and phonetic ability. The findings of this study provide evidence for lecturers on the importance of introducing pronunciation class in the English study program in the earlier years of study to improve students' English pronunciation ability. Implication and limitation of research are provided.

Keywords: EFL university students, English letters

Introduction

All English as a foreign language (EFL) and English as a second language (ESL) learners in the world would hope to be able to pronounce English correctly like native speakers of English. Having correct pronunciation is important as it can lead to more efficient communication (Kaharuddin & Ahmad, 2018; Zhang, 2009) and support personal and professional development in speaking (Gilakjani, 2012; Kosasih, 2017; Poposka, 2016; Rivas et al., 2011). However, many learners have difficulties in producing correct English pronunciation, including Indonesian learners. According to Bui (2016), among the reasons why learning English pronunciation is difficult is the strangeness of English phonetics for EFL learners.

Riswanto and Haryanto (2012), revealed that age, phonetic ability, lack of practice, and attitude are factors that make it difficult for Indonesian students to pronounce English. Moreover, Andi-Pallawa and Alam (2013) argued that different sound systems of Indonesian with English as the target language presents a difficulty factor for Indonesian students in recognizing English letters and sounds. Yusriati and Hasibuan (2019) who focused their research on silent letters argued that English silent letters create problems for EFL learners and make the pronunciation even more difficult because the spelling and its pronunciation do not match. The learners tend to generalize the foreign language or second language sounds based on their mother tongue. For example, Indonesian students pronounce the English silent letter [k] in *know* in the same way as they pronounce the first letter of Indonesia consonant [k] in *kaki* without the absence of the */k/* sound. The mispronunciation of the silent consonant letter

above could be the result of the over-practice of the first language and a process of fossilization (Hasan, 2014). Fossilization refers to repetition of incorrect phonetics of a foreign language (Wei, 2008). Ellis (1985) further explained that fossilization is when a certain feature of his interlanguage has the same form as that of target language, then the fossilization of correct forms will take place. In conrast, when the feature is different, then the fossilization of errors form will occur.

Context of the Study

Our study was focused on exploring the students' difficulties in recognizing English consonant silent letters. The consonants are categorized in terms of their manner and place of articulation based on Roach's (2009) classification: firstly is plosive; bilabial [p] and [b], alveolar [t] and [d], and velar plosive [k] and [g]; second, fricative; alveolar [s] and glotal [h]; third, nasal, bilabial [m] and alveolar [n]; and fourth, approximant, lateral [l], post-alveolar [r], and velar [w].

Plosive is produced completely by stopping the airflow through the oral cavity (McMahon, 2002). [p] and [b] are bilabial plosives that are produced by pressing the lips together. [p] sometime absent in the initial and middle of words, for example, psychological /saikə'lndʒikl/, pneumonia /nju:'məoniə/, however [b] only absent in the final of the words, for example cupboard /'kʌbəd/, receipt /ri'si:t/, bomb /bpm/, and thumb / θ_{Am} /. Consonants [t] and [d] are alveolar plosives. These two consonants are produced when the tongue blade touches the alveolar ridge. In several words, both [t] and [d] are silent in the middle, for example, listen /lisən/, handkerchief /hæŋkətʃif/, and Wednesday /'wenzdet/. Finally, [k] and [g] are velar plosive that are produced by pressing the back of the tongue against the hard palate. The velar plosive [k] usually absent in the initial of words, for example, knife /natf/, knock /nvk/, and [g] is in the initial and middle of the words, for example gnome /nəom/, gnash /næf/, foreign /'fprən/, and sovereign /'spvrm/.

The result of two articulators being in close with each other, and the air passes between them are called fricative, however, [s] and [h] belong to a different manner of articulation. [s] is alveolar fricative that has the same place of articulation as [t] and [d] in which the air escapes through a narrow chanel of the mouth (Roach, 2009). It is the result of two articulators being in close with each other, and the air passes between them. This alveolar fricative is usually absent in the middle and final of the English words, for example, island */'aılənd/*, aisle */aıl/*, debris */debri*:/. However, [h] is glotal fricative that is produced by moving the vocal folds from wide apart to close together (Roach, 2009). This consonant is mostly absentin the initial position of the words that are followed by certain vowels in English, for example, heir /eə/, herbivore /'h3:b1v2:/, honest /'pn1st/, what /wpt/, and when /wen/.

The consonant which is produced by involving complete closure in the mouth by lowering the back of the velum to allow airflow escape through the nose refers to nasal (Hayes, 2009). [m] is bilabial nasal and [n] is alveolar nasal. These two nasal consonants are produced quite similarly. [m] is produced by escaping air through the nose totally or air does not pass through the mouth. This bilabial nasal is only absent at the beginning of English words for example mnemonic /ni 'monik/. However, [n] is a different matter, it is produced by no escaping the air through thenose totally. This alveolar nasal is usually absent in the middle and final of the English words, for example, government /'gʌvənmənt/, column /'kpləm/, damn /dæm/.

[1], [r], and [w] are approximants. [1] is a lateral approximent that is made by using the tongue and alveolar ridge. O'Connor (1980) briefly stated that [1] is formed by not contacting the side of the tongue with the side of the palate to escape the air flow between them.[1] comes in two variations, a clear [1] and a dark [1] which are in allophonic relation, but the variations do not change the meaning of the words (Vančová, 2016). [1] is not pronounced in the middle

position of English words with certain conditions, for example, calm /kɑ:m/, and half /ha:f/. However, [r] is a post-alveolar approximant that is produced by approaching the tip of the tongue to the alveolar as the way to produce [t] or [d], but never actually makes contact with any part of the roof of the mouth (Roach, 2009). These consonant silent letters perhaps only occur in standard British English pronunciation, not in American English, for example, car /kɑ:/, far /fɑ:/, card /ka:d/, fork /fɔ:k/, father /'fɑ:ðə/, and where /'weə/. [w] is velar approximant. It is pronounced like a vowel. This means that it is phonetically like vowels but phonologically like consonants (Roach, 2009). There is an interference of vowel [u] in producing [w] in English. It is pronounced without any real obstacle in the air stream, the articulating organs (lips) come to proximity, but they never touch (Vančová, 2016). Similarly, /w/ is produced by approximating the lips, though not enough to cause friction or obstruct the air-flow, and the back of the tongue is also bunched up (McMahon, 2002). Bilabial glide/w/ is absent at the beginning, middle, and final parts of the English words, for example, wrap /ræp/, write /rant/, answer /'ɑ:nsə/, hawk /'hɔ:k/, raw /'rɔ:/, and draw /'drɔ:/.

Several studies have been conducted related to pronunciation difficulty of EFL learners (Abugohar & Yunus, 2018; Bardakçi, 2015; Ercan, 2018; Keshavarz, 2017; Poposka, 2016; Shak et al., 2016; Sumbayak, 2009). These studies, however, were only concerned with identifying the types of mispronunciation EFL learners have in general.

Literature Review

To date, several studies have been conducted to investigate pronunciation difficulties encountered by EFL learners (Chaira, 2015; Donal, 2016; Mathew, 1998; Tambunsaribu & Simatupang, 2021; Utami, 2020). These previous studies allow us to gain a better understanding of the experience in pronouncing unrecognizable English phonetic sounds. Yet, most of these studies have been conducted outside of Indonesia with only five studies conducted in Indonesia to the best of our knowledge. No research to date has been done on Indonesia EFL learners in recognizing English consonant silent letters bilabial plosive [p] and [b], alveolar plosive [t] and [d], velar plosive [k] and [g], alveolar fricative [s], glotal fricative [h], bilabial nasal [m], alveolar nasal [n], lateral approximant [1], post-alveolar approximant [r], and velar approximant [w].

Chaira (2015) conducted the research entitled "Inference of First Language in Pronunciation of English Sugmental Sounds" by classifying and comparing Indonesian pronunciation as the participants' first language with English pronunciation. The study employed a descriptive qualitative design by collecting the data from the students of an Islamic Boarding School in Aceh, Indonesia. She found that participants' first language can cause English phononological errors, especially [ph], [th], [kh], [f] for the grapheme "ph," [v], [θ], [δ], [z] for the grapheme "s," [\int], [ks] for grapheme "x," [i:], [u:], [æ], and [e].

Donal (2016) investigated students' difficulties in pronouncing English diphthongs involving 35 students at the University of Pasir Pengaraian, Riau Province, Indonesia. The study employed mixed methods and the data were collected using a pronunciation test and classroom observation to describe the factors that influence students' difficulties. The results showed that the English diphthong [av] was the most difficult sound pronounced by the students. From the observation, the researcher suggested that the factors that affected students' problems were mother tongue intrusion, students' lack of knowledge of English sound systems, and unsuccessful usage of borrowed English words.

Mathew (1998) conducted research on the mispronunciations of English consonants by Indonesian EFL learners. The study involved a total of 24 EFL learners from three different first language groups (Indonesian, Gayo, and Acehnese) using an experimental design. The study aimed to describe errors made by each first language group. The data were collected

through an aural discrimination test, a word repetition test, a reading passage test, and interviews. The findings showed patterns of errors in the realization of certain English phonemes. The errors made by the students were mainly limited to final stops and sibilants and initial and final affricates and inter-dentals. Based on the findings, the researcher concluded that transfer and developmental factors, spelling interference, learning and communication strategies, and interaction of these all factors were attributed to the mispronunciations.

A more recent study was undertaken by Tambunsaribu and Simatupang (2021) to investigate the errors of English pronunciation among Indonesian university students. Using a case study methodology, the data were collected from 50 students at a university in Jakarta. The data were obtained through students' talk when they introduced themselves in the first meeting of an English class. The researchers typed all the mispronounced words and recorded the students' voices. The researchers then made a list of the mispronounced words and asked the participants again to pronounce them in front of the class in the following meeting. The participants were also interviewed to explore the reasons for their difficulties. The findings revealed that the students faced many types of problems in pronouncing English words. The students were confused about pronouncing some English words because of the inconsistency of the pronunciation of vowel letters, vowel-letter combinations, silent letters, and the sounds of two or three combinations of consonant letters. The interview results showed that there are several reasons why the students have problems pronouncing the English words although they have learned English for more than 12 years. Among the reasons are lack of training on pronunciation and English speaking and reading-aloud practice, more grammar-oriented and teacher-centred English instruction.

Research entitled "EFL Learner's Pronunciation Problems was conducted by Utami (2020) who analyzed a Chinese post graduate student problem in pronunciation $/\delta/$, $/\theta/$, /r/, and /l/ sounds through case study. The participant began to study English as a compulsary subject from primary school until university. Using interview, the data of her study werecollected to provide information related to $/\delta/$, $/\theta/$, /r/, and /l/ sounds. Her study found that the Chinese post graduate studentfaced difficulty in pronouncing $/\delta/$, $/\theta/$, /r/, and /l/ sounds.

The findings of the studies presented above showed that English pronunciation errors appeared to be specific to speakers of a certain language. While several studies on English pronunciation have been conducted in the Indonesian contexts, most of the studies have focused on identifying common errors made by the students. Although influencing factors were identified in the studies, some were only based on observations rather than interview results. The present study is different from the above-mentioned studies as it specifically investigated Indonesian undergraduate students' difficulties in recognizing English consonant silent letters in which the data were collected using interviews.

Regarding the importance of English consonant silent letters recognition, the present study aims to explore the difficulties of English department students in an Islamic higher education institution in Jambi, Indonesia. The research related to English consonant silent letters is very important because the research is still rare, especially at English departments in Indoensia. This study would also attempt to fill the gap related to students' difficulties in recognizing English consonant silent letters. The question of inquiry for this study was, "What are the difficulties of undergraduate students in recognizing English consonant silent letters?"

Authors' Context

The first, second, and third authors of this study are English lecturers in the English department in Institut Agama Islam Negeri Kerinci, while the fourth author is an English lecturer of Universitas Jambi who is interested in pronunciation. Most of the authors were experienced in teaching pronunciation class. As English lecturers, we often discussed pronounciation

problems faced by EFL learners, especially Indonesian learners. Based on the results of our discussion, we decided to conduct research on English silent letters to explore the Indonesian EFL learners' difficulties in recognizing English consonants silent letters. We believed that this research result can be supplementary for the English lecturers to developappropriate teaching techniques or strategies for teaching pronunciation class.

Methodology

A qualitative approach was adopted in this study since we are investigating and understanding EFL students' difficulties in recognizing consonant silent letters. This approach attempts to answer the research question about phenomenon and the issue of an individual, group, institution, and community in a natural real-life context (Crowe et al., 2011; Flick, 2009). Creswell (2013) stated that a qualitative approach attempts to empower the individuals to share their experiences about an issue or phenomena naturally through direct interview between the researchers and the participants of the research. Therefore, we decided to employ this approach to gather richer understanding of the students' difficulties in recognizing silent letters.

Participants

Selection of Participants

This study was conducted at the teachers training and education program in one state Islamic higher education institution in Jambi, Indonesia, that has more than 1500 students. We asked permission from the head of English department to involve the students in our study. We informed him that we would like to interview English department students. He responded to our aims positively by giving us the opportunity to conduct our study if we did not disturb the students' teaching and learning processes. We used purposive sampling in selecting the participants since it judges participants based on our study purpose. Purposive sampling aims to have relevant data based on the specific research topic (Kumar, 2011). To employ purposive sampling in this study, 35 of fourth semester English department students that belong to three classes were asked to pronounce English words containing 13 English consonant silent letters; bilabial plosive [p] and [b], alveolar plosive [t] and [d], velar plosive [k] and [g], alveolar fricative [s], glotal fricative [h], bilabial nasal [m], alveolar nasal [n], lateral approximant [l], post-alveolar approximant [r], and velar approximant [w] as a basis for selecting participants. This pronunciation test was designed by us only as requirement for selecting the study participants. In this test, each correct pronunciation was scored 1 and incorrect was 0 to come to know each student's ability. Our inclusion criteria was a score of less than 32 (a quarter of the total test score conversion). The pronunciation test showed that six students were selected as the research participants because they got a score below 32. However, two students were not willing to be interviewed because of personal problems, therefore four students participated in this study.

Participant Students

We used pseudonyms for our four participants to ensure confidentiality; they are Nur, Budi, Fitri, and Doni. Nur is a student with limited Englishphonetic recognition. She never recognized English phonetics when she was at senior high school like her classmates in the English department. Budi is a student who has no background with English phonetic recognition because he was taught by a senior high school teacher who did not have any English

phonetic background. His English teachers never explained to him about the importance of English phonetic recognition and therefore, he had to rely upon himself to recognize English phonetic patterns. Fitri, the third participant, had no intention of studying in the English language study program when she took the proficiency test at an Islamic higher education institution in Jambi Indonesia. The English program was as a second choice; he had preferred biology, but his parents forced him to take an English study program. This, unsurprisingly, made Fitri less enthusiastic about learning English which influenced the lack of mastery of pronunciation, skills in English, and other aspects of the English language. The fourth participant was Doni, who has good skills with regards to many aspects of English learning, except for pronunciation. He finds it difficult to recognize English phonetics, especially English consonant silent letters, so he pronounces English silent letters like he is pronouncing consonants in his first language.

All participants in this study were students we (the first three authors) know as they are studying English in our department within an Islamic higher education institution in Jambi, Indonesia, where we teach. This relationship helped us conduct deep conversations to gain in depth-information related to the participants' difficulties in recognizing English consonant silent letters. This trusting relationship between participants and the researchers enabled us to clarify the data needed for this study. Moreover, underlying relationship and trust allowed us to reach the participants any time we needed to conduct additional interviews. Only the fourth author did not have a relationship with the participants as she teaches at another higher education.

Data Collection

The data for this study were collected through semi-structured interviews with each participant lasting 45 and 60 minutes at the location of each participant's choice over a 4-month period from June to December 2020. This technique of data collection provides us rich data with respect to the difficulties of silent letters in English pronunciation learning. Creswell (2012) stated that conducting semi-structured interviews is the most appropriate technique for collecting data from human beings. Open-ended and follow up questions are implemented in this interview based on our experiences. After asking questions about the participants' basic demographic information, first we asked, "How interested are you to recognize English phonetics for better pronunciation?" to learn their motivation in recognizing English phonetics. Second, we asked "How aware are you of recognizing English phonetics like a native?" to learn their attitude in recognizing English phonetics. Third, "What is the role of your first language towards your English phonetics?" to learn about the influences of their first language on English phonetics. Finally, we asked "How important is English phonetics ability for you?" to learn their English phonetics ability for you?" to learn their English phonetic knowledge.

Before the interviews were conducted, objectives of the research were explained. Each participant was also asked to sign a document of informed concern that has been approved by secretary of English department.

All willing participants were interviewed in a face-to-face format by the first author. Each interview which was conducted using the participants' first language and was audio recorded for transcribing purposes. Furthermore, each participant was interviewed a second time. Interviewing the participants more than once provided the interviewer with the opportunity to confirm and clarify the previous interview (Bolderston, 2012). In that interview, we gave opportunity for each participant to clarify their answers from the first interview if they thought it was needed.

Data Analysis

Having transcribed the interview data, we analyzed the data using Saldaña's (2009) qualitative data analysis approach that focuses on coding to assign a summative, essence-capturing, evocative attribute for a portion of language-based or visual data. We used the spirit of Saldaña's analysis to find out exactly what the participants told us regarding their motivation for learning English phonetics through in vivo coding. We highlighted and report exactly what they said in answer to the question about their motivation. Then we did the same for each interview question category of attitude, first language interference, and phonetic ability. This coding process was established to connect codes and to attribute meaning to the units of data (Veloso et al., 2021). The example of coding process is provided in the table below.

Table 1

Example of Coding Process

Transcript	Categories
Nur: Although most of my classmates paid their attention on English phonetic	
recognition during study at English department, I am not interested to recognize English	
phonetics, because its rule makes me more confused. I mean, there is the inconsistency	Motivation
of the letters with their phonetics in English.	
Budi: Although I have limited English phonetics, <i>I never focus my attention on phonetics</i>	Attitude
until now although pronunciation classes include obligatory subjects that must be taken	
in the English department at my college.	
Fitri: As a beginner EFL learner, I cannot avoid my first language intervention for	
certain English phonetics. A different rule of both languages bothered me in recognizing	First Language
English phonetics. My first language not only influence me in pronunciation, but also in	Interference
speaking and grammar.	
Doni: I do not know that there are any different phonetics between English and my first	
language for certain letters. Therefore, I feel no problems with my limited English	
phonetics. It doesn't affect another English subject I learnt as an English department	Phonetics Ability
student.	

Trustworthiness of the Study

To ensure the trustworthiness of the study, we undertook several methods recommended by Tracy (2010); triangulation and member reflections. In triangulating the information, we compared our observations and knowledge of the participants with their interviews to avoid subjectivity bias and to test consistency of the findings. While for member reflection, we provided the copies of interviews for member reflection or member-checking by involving all participants to give feedback to ensure their views were not misprinted and reflected the participants' true experiences. Involving the participants to check their interview results making sure of the accuracy of their contributions (Creswell, 2014).

Findings

This study aimed to examine the difficulties of students in recognizing English silent consonant letters at one Islamic higher education institution in Jambi, Indonesia. The participants were clear in explaining to us about their motivation, attitude, first language interference, and ability with respect to recognizing silent letters in English pronunciation. Our study findings revealed that although participants expressed similar views related to the difficulties in recognizing English consonants silent letters, each had their uniqueness.

Motivation

This category focuses on participants' motivation to recognize English phonetics. Motivation cannot be separated from pronunciation because it can encourage the EFL learners to recognize English phonetics for better pronunciation. During the interview, the participants were asked about their interest to recognize English phonetics in learning English as foreign language. A view of interest emerged from the participants of the study that they were uninterested in recognizing accurate English phonetics. This view assumed there was no phonetic contribution to the English subjects they study in English department. Although all participants mostly have similar thoughts of recognizing English phonetics, each of them has different reason.

I am not interested to recognize English phonetics, because its rule makes me more confused. I mean, there is the inconsistency of the letters with their phonetics in English. (Nur)

Recognizing phonetics do not guarantee me in learning English lectures at the English department, such as reading, writing, and speaking, that's why I just underestimate them and focus on the aspect of grammar and vocabulary which is necessary for learning English skills as I mentioned before. (Budi)

As long as I know, little beat errors in English phonetics is a common problem for EFL learners, therefore I lack interest to recognize English phonetics as my classmates do. I am still able to learn English better without accurate phonetics. (Fitri)

As an EFL learner, accurate English phonetics is not important as long as I understand the words and sentences meaning of the English book we read. I mean, phonetics cannot help me to comprehend English subjects written in English, for example, morphology, phonology, cross-culture understanding, etc. (Doni)

It can be seen from these views that most participants in this study were uninterested in recognizing English phonetics due to confusion and lack of utility.

Attitude

Attitude also plays an important role in English pronunciation. It refers to awareness of the EFL learners to recognize English phonetics, that the more the learners are aware the more accurate pronunciation they have. Under this category, the participants were asked about their awareness in recognizing English phonetics. Most of our participants reported that they did not focus on phonetics during their study in the English department. They were not aware of the importance of accurate phonetic in learning English. They reflected:

I never think of accurate phonetics during my study in the English department, I just recognize English as I recognize my first language. (Nur)

I never focus my attention on phonetics until now although pronunciation classes include obligatory subjects that must be taken in the English department at my college. (Budi)

Phonetics is not my priority during studying in the English department. I feel enough with the English phonetics I learn in Senior High School although it is not as detail as I have learned in the English department. (Fitri)

I ignore English phonetics during studying in the English department because I think this ability can be learnt later after four English skills. Focusing on phonetic in learning English only help me in listening class, not in other English skill. (Doni)

Learning English without recognizing English phonetics has inspired our participants not to recognize accurate English phonetics. Most of them expect that it is not important to recognize English phonetics in learning English. This negative assumption makes them not pay attention to the importance of phonetics that can support their English learning.

First Language Pronunciation Interference

The interference of first language in English pronunciation referred to transferring the learners' native language to English as the target language. Our participants reported that they were influenced by their first language when we interviewed them about first language interference in recognizing English phonetics. They testified that rules of their first language phonetics interfere them in recognizing English phonetics.

My first language phonetics always influences me for both consonants and vowels. I mean the consistency of my first language letters and phonetics influences my English phonetics. As long as I know any letters in English are different between its letters and phonetics. (Nur)

Rule of my first language phonetics always disturbs me in recognizing English phonetics. For example, s letter is recognized as /s/ in its phonetic, different from English. I mean s letter is sometimes recognized as /z/ in English phonetics. (Budi)

As a beginner EFL learner, I cannot avoid my first language intervention for certain English phonetics. A different rule of both languages bothered me in recognizing English phonetics. (Fitri)

I often transfer my first language phonetic rule when I feel doubt with my English phonetics recognition. I do this way because I am aware of my limited phonetic knowledge. (Doni)

Our participants expressed that different phonetics of both languages became main reason for them transferring their first language phonetics into English. The examples of thought showed that their first language was used by the participants in recognizing English phonetics.

Phonetic Ability

Phonetic ability serves as basis element of target language pronunciation which relates to knowledge of sound-symbol. When our participants were asked about the importance of

English phonetics ability toward English pronunciation, our participants reported that this study category did not have any contribution for most of the English skills they learn.

Phonetics recognition ability is considered unimportant for me as long as I have the vocabulary and know the way to arrange the sentences grammatically. (Nur)

Not too important compared to mastering vocabulary and grammar, because vocabulary and grammar can give a contribution to me in learning writing, reading, and speaking. (Budi)

Although I don't recognize some English phonetics, it does not negatively influence my English writing, speaking, listening, and reading subjects learning. Recognizing English phonetic only waste my time. (Fitri)

I feel no problems with my limited English phonetics. It doesn't affect another English subject I learnt as an English department student. (Doni)

These views show that our participants ignore the phonetics as the important aspect in learning English. Our participants did not believe that the phonetic knowledge cannot help them in learning English compared to vocabularies and grammar.

Discussion

The findings our study provided information of the participants' difficulties toward English consonant silent letters recognition on Islamic higher education institution. Recognizing English phonetics is very important for EFL learners for better pronunciation. Our study findings indicated that although English phonetics have been introduced through pronunciation class in English department, the participants still faced difficulties in recognizing them. The difficulties were caused by their negative assumptions of English phonetics role in supporting their English learning. With respect to motivation of recognizing English phonetics, our study results indicated that all our participants reported that they were not interest in recognizing English phonetics. They did not believe that English phonetics supported them to learn English better. It is consistent with Fauzi's (2016) research results: lack of knowledge related to the importance of pronunciation can cause lack of interest in recognizing English phonetics. Al Yaqoobi et al. (2016) pointed out that inadequacy in recognizing English phonetics can affect EFL learners' pronunciation problems.

We also found that our participants have negative attitudes toward English phonetics recognition. They did not make the effort to recognize accurate English phonetics for better pronunciation while learning English. This unawareness made our participants underestimate the important rule of English phonetics. This finding is in line with AlMansour's (2016), study that unawareness of English pronunciation would result in negative effects on students' success in learning English as foreign language. Likewise, Tambunsaribu and Simatupang (2021) revealed that the Indonesian university student's held unconscious attitudes towards accurate pronunciation because of confusion over the inconsistency of English phonetics.

Regarding first language interference, our participants were influenced by their first language in recognizing English phonetics. They reflected that they tended to transfer from their first language into English phonetics. It was caused by the inconsistency of the English letters and phonetics. This case made our participants use their first language as the basis of recognizing English phonetics. Our study findings related to first language interference were consistent with Luo (2014), who recognized that EFL students' first language always interferes

in recognizing English phonetics. Similarly, Chaira (2015) found that the students tended to transfer their Indonesian phonetic pattern into English, particularly when the English phonetics do not exist in their first language. In addition, Authar (2018) revealed that Indonesian students negatively transferred [z] and [v] because both never occur in the final position of Indonesian phonetics.

The findings, furthermore, demonstrated a lack phonetic ability of the participants in pronunciation. Our participants assume that phonetics did not have any contribution for them in learning English. Therefore, they ignored the phonetics rule. Our study results support the previous research findings by Al-Rubaat and Alshammari (2020) and Febriana et al. (2019). Al-Rubaat and Alshammari (2020) revealed that English phonetics recognition does not contribution to Saudi EFL learners in learning English, because it is impossible for them to recognize English phonetics as accurate as an English-speaking native. Febriana et al. (2019) found that Indonesian EFL learners negatively perceive the usefulness of English phonetics in English learning because it is difficult to recognize.

Involving small sample in this study was our greatest limitation. However, it gave us a starting place for looking at our teaching and curriculum. We can change our emphasis to stress that EFL learners are expected to recognize English phonetics to have better English pronunciation. We hope that knowing the difficulties and attitudes of students that the rector as the policy maker in the higher education institutions would consider inviting English native lecturers to train English lecturers to teach pronunciation class. In addition, as the authors of this study, we hope the next researchers to conduct similar research by involving English department students from another higher education institution to learn more.

References

- Abugohar, M. A., & Yunus, K. (2018). Difficulties encountered by Arab students in pronouncing English correctly. *International Journal of Education & Literacy Studies*, 6(4), 93-100.
- AlMansour, N. S. (2016). Effect of attitude on foreign language acquisition: Arabic pronunciation as case study. *Arab World English Journal (AWEJ)*, 7(1), 310-325.
- Al-Rubaat, A. M., & Alshammari (2020). Analysis of phonetic and phonological constraints of Saudi EFL learners. *English Language Teaching*, 13(1), 63-72.
- Al Yaqoobi, Z. M., Ali, F., & Sulan, N. (2016). An analysis of errors caused by Omani EFL learners in pronouncing certain consonant sounds. *International Journal of Language Education and Applied Linguistics*, 5, 59-71.
- Andi-Pallawa, B., & Alam, A. F. A. (2013). A comparative analysis between English and Indonesian of phonological system. *International Journal of English Language Education*, 1(3), 103-129.
- Authar, N. (2018). The influence of first language on the pronunciation of second language (A case study of the members of English club at MTS Salafiyahsyafiiyah Mumbulsari Jember). Education and Human Development Journal, 3(1), 71-80.
- Bardakçi, M. (2015). Turkish EFL pre-service teachers' pronunciation problems. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 10(16), 2370-2378.
- Bolderston, A. (2012). Conducting a research interview. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences*, 43(1), 66-76.
- Bui, T. S. (2016). Pronunciations of consonants /ð/ and /θ/by adult Vietnamese EFL learners. Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics, 6(1), 125-134.
- Chaira, S. (2015). Interference of first language in pronunciation of English segmental sounds. English Education Journal, 6(4), 469-483.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating

quantitative and qualitative research (4th ed.). Pearson Education.

- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry & research design: Choosing among five approaches* (3rd ed.). SAGE.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research design: Quantitative, qualitative and mixed-method approaches. Sage.
- Crowe, S., Creswell, K., Robertson, A., Huby, G., Avery, A., & Sheikh, A. (2011). The case study approach. *Medical Research Methodology*, 11(100), 2-9.
- Donal, A. (2016). Indonesian students' difficulties in pronouncing English diphthongs. *Journal* of English Education, 2(2), 55-62.
- Ellis, R. (1985). Understanding second language acquisition. Oxford University Press.
- Ercan, H. (2018). Pronunciation problems of Turkish EFL learners in Northern Cyprus. International Online Journal of Education and Teaching (IOJET), 5(4), 877-893.
- Fauzi, A. R. (2016). The attitude of English students of university of Pasir Pengaraian in learning pronunciation. *Journal of English Education*, 2(2), 83-89.
- Febriana, I., Anshori, S., & Utami, H. S. (2019). Students' perception toward phonetic notation in English language learning. 3rd English Language and Literature International Conference (ELLiC) Proceedings, 3, 23-34.

Flick, U. (2009). An introduction toqualitative research (4th ed). SAGE.

- Gilakjani, A. P. (2012). A study of factors affecting EFL learners' English pronunciation learning and the strategies for instruction. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 2(3), 119-128.
- Harrison, H., Birks, M., Franklin, R., & Mills, J. (2017). Case study research: Foundation and methodological orientations. *Forum Qualitative Forschung Social Research*, 18(1), 1-17.
- Hasan, E. M. I. (2014). Pronunciation problems: A case study of English language students at Sudan University of science and technology. *English Language and Literature Studies*, 4(4), 31-44.
- Hayes, B. (2009). Introductory phonology. Markono Print Media Pte Ltd.
- Kaharuddin, A. D. (2018). English phonetics for Indonesian learners of English (an essential guide to natural English pronunciation). Trust Media Publishing.
- Keshavarz, M. H., & Abubakar, M. K. (2017). An investigation into pronunciation problems of Hausa speaking learners of English. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching (IOJET)*, 4(1), 61-72.
- Kosasih, M. M. (2017). Native language interference in learning English pronunciation: A case study at a private university in West Java, Indonesia. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 5(2), 136-150.
- Kumar, R. (2011). Research methodology: A step-by-step guide for beginners. SAGE.
- Luo, J. (2014). A study of mother tongue interference in pronunciation of college English learning in China. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 4(8), 1702-1706.
- Mathew, I. B. (1998). Errors in pronunciation of consonants by learners of English as a foreign language whose first languages are Indonesian, Gayo and Acehnese. *Monash* University Linguistics Papers, 3(2), 29-44.
- McMahon, A. (2002). An introduction to English phonology. Edinburgh University Press.
- O'Connor, J. D. (1980). Better English pronunciation. Cambridge University Press.
- Poposka, V. P. (2016). Pronunciation proficiency level and problematic areas of tertiary level students-learners of English as a foreign language from Goedecke University Shtip-Macedonia. *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR)*, 30(3), 199-208.
- Riswanto, R., & Haryanto, E. (2012). Improving students' pronunciation through communicative drilling technique at senior high school (SMA) 07 South Bengkulu,

Indonesia. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 2(21), 82-87.

- Rivas, M. O. L., Gracia, P. C. M. G., & Mejia, N. V. U. (2011). Interference caused by first language on the pronunciation of English voiced/voiceless fricative and africate consonant sound /δ/, /θ/, /tf/, and /f/: A literature review worked supported with field data Collected among Students of the B.A. in English Emphasis on Teaching of the Foreign languages department of the University of EL Salvador semester II-2011 [Undergraduate Research]. University of ELSalvador.
- Roach, P. (2009). *English phonetics and phonology a practical course* (4th ed). Cambridge University Press.
- Saldaña, J. (2009). The coding manual for qualitative researchers. SAGE.
- Shak, P., Lee, C. S., & Stephen, J. (2016). Pronunciation problems: A case study on English pronunciation errors of low proficient students. *International Journal of Language Education and Applied Linguistics (IJLEAL)*, 4, 25-35.
- Sumbayak, D. M. (2009). The diphtong: The obstacles for Indonesian speakers of English. Jurnal of Lingua Cultura, 3(2), 107-115.
- Tambunsaribu, G., & Simatupang, M. S. (2021). Pronunciation problems faced by Indonesian college students who learn to speak English. *European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine*, 8(2), 759-766.
- Tracy, S. J. (2010). Qualitative quality: Eight "big tent" criteria for excellent qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, *16*(10), 837-851. doi:10.1177/1077800410383121
- Utami, V. (2020). EFL Learner's pronunciation problems: A phonological analysis. *Journal Basis*, 7(1), 172-184.
- Vančová, H. (2016). *Phonetics and phonology: A practical introduction to pronunciation and transcription*. Trnavska Univerzita V Trnave Pedagogical Fakulta.
- Veloso, E. C., Yehl, A., Pann, J., Connolly, J., & Grabner, S. (2021). Returning veterans' experiences of a holistic therapeutic program. *The Qualitative Report*, 26(8), 2390-2404. https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2021.4697
- Wei, X. (2008). Implication of IL fossilization in second language acquisition. English Language Teaching, 1(1), 127-131.
- Yusriati, Y., & Hasibuan, S. H. (2019). The analysis of English pronunciation errors by English education students of FKIP UMSU. *Journal of English Education and Teaching*, *3*(2), 230-248.
- Zhang, F. (2009). A Study of pronunciation problems of English learners in China. Journal of Asian Social Science, 5(6), 141-146.

Appendix A

Pronunciation Observation Test

No	Words		
1	Psychology		
2	Pneumonia		
3	Cupboard		
4	Receipt		
5	Doubt		
6	Subtle		
7	Bomb		
8	Thumb		
9	Christmas		
10	Fasten		
12	Handkerchief		
13	Wednesday		
14	Knee		
15	Knock		
16	Gnaw		
17	Gnash		
18	Sovereign		
19	Campaign		
20	Island		
21	Viscount		
22	Debris		
23	Heir		
24	Honor		
25	What		
26	While		
27	Mnemonic		
28	Solemn		
29	Autumn		
30	Palm		
31	Salmon		
32	Almond		
33	Chart		
34	Fork		
35	Father		
36	Car		
37	Mother		
38	Wrap		
39	Write		
40	Answer		
41	Hawk		
42	Raw		
43	Draw		

Appendix B

Score of Pronunciation Observation Test

No	Name	Raw Score	Conversing Score
1	Meri	33	76
2	Noni	30	70
3	Nur	11	25
4	Vepi	30	70
5	Budi	5	70
6	Moli	34	79
7	Titi	36	84
8	Fitri	7	16
9	Rohida	35	81
10	Novita	33	76
11	Yeni	35	81
12	Rani	8	19
13	Bela	32	74
14	Mona	36	84
15	Doni	10	23
16	Ela	34	79
17	Ani	9	21

Notes. The scoring technique of each student by totaling item by item, then converting it into 0 to 100by using the formula below:

The Number of Correct Items

Value = The Total of Item x 100

Author Note

Dr. Toni Indrayadi, M.Pd. is a lecturer and researcher at the English Department of Institute Agama Islam Negeri Kerinci, Jambi, Indonesia, and an expert in research in English Language Teaching, Research Methodology, Speaking, and Pronunciation. He holds his doctoral degree from Jambi University in English Education. Correspondence regarding this article can be addressed directly to toniindrayadi2@gmail.com.

Daflizar, M.A., Ph.D. is a lecturer and researcher at the English department of Institute Agama Islam Negeri Kerinci, Jambi, Indonesia. He obtained his master's and Ph.D. degrees from University of Canberra, Australia. His research interests include learner autonomy, language learning strategies, language teaching and learning, technology-based language learning, and language learning motivation. Correspondence regarding this article can be addressed directly to <u>daflizar@yahoo.com</u>.

Yoki Irawan, M.Pd. is a faculty member, Faculty of Education, Institute Agama Islam Negeri Kerinci, Jambi, Indonesia. Correspondence regarding this article can also be addressed directly to ykrwn09@gmail.com.

Helty, M.Pd. is a faculty member, Faculty of Education, Jambi University, Indonesia. Correspondence regarding this article can also be addressed directly to <u>heltyasafri@unja.ac.id</u>.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to express deepest gratitude to the State Islamic Institute of Kerinci Rector for allowing to conduct the study, the third semester students of English department academic year 2019/2020 for willingness to participate in the study.

Copyright 2021: Toni Indrayadi, Daflizar, and Yoki Irawan, Helty, and Nova Southeastern University.

Article Citation

Indrayadi, T., Daflizar, Irawan, Y., & Helty. (2021). Indonesian EFL students' difficulties in recognizing English letters. *The Qualitative Report*, 26(11), 3476-3491. https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2021.4846

Indonesian EFL Students' Difficulties in Recognizing English Letters

ORIGINAL	ITY REPORT			
6% SIMILAR	<mark>6</mark> RITY INDEX	5% INTERNET SOURCES	2% PUBLICATIONS	2% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY	SOURCES			
1	WWW.Ser	nanticscholar.o	rg	1%
2	reposito	ry.uin-malang.a	ic.id	1%
3	reposito	ri.uin-alauddin.	ac.id	1%
4	ro.ecu.e (Internet Source			1 %
5	"Online L Students	Peliza, Muham earning: Proble in Educational H: Jurnal Pendi	ems Experience Supervision C	ed by
6	eprints.b	ournemouth.a	c.uk	1%
7	WWW.red			1 %

Exclude	quotes	On
Exclude	bibliography	On

Exclude matches < 1%